



Presenter's Name (Last, First): _____

Poster Number: _____

Aces – Rubric for POSTER PRESENTATIONS

For each of the categories, enter a score of "1" through "5" in the rightmost column.



CONTENT						
Categories	1-Emerging	2-Developing	3-Good	4-Very Good	5- Exceptional	Score
<i>How accessible was the poster to educated viewers of diverse backgrounds?</i>	Scope either too broad or too narrow; lacks depth; AND too much jargon	Scope too broad/too narrow OR Lacks depth OR too much jargon	Reasonable scope & depth; lapses into detail not accessible to audience	Good score & depth; Doesn't lose audience in technical details; Good learning experience	Excellent scope & depth; A truly valuable learning experience	Accessibility
<i>How well was the central issue (thesis) identified?</i>	Lacks clear statement of central issue/thesis	Incomplete or unfocused	Reasonably clear	Clear & concise	Clear, concise, engaging, and thought provoking	Thesis
<i>How well organize was the poster?</i>	No clear information sequence; very difficult to follow	Evidence of some organization but not optimal; sometimes difficult to follow	Reasonably logical sequence of ideas; easy to follow for the most part	Presented in logical & interesting way; easy to follow but not oversimplified	Exceptional organization in light of the fact that the topic is complex	Organization
<i>How well was evidence invoked to support the work's main claims?</i>	No appropriate evidence presented to support the work's central claim(s)	Some evidence but either insufficient or not clearly supportive of central claim(s)	Evidence supports the central claim(s) sufficiently in reasonable of detail	Evidence clearly supports main claims; good detail; opposing evidence considered	Evidence detailed, rich & compelling; opposing evidence considered & refuted	Evidence
<i>How well did the work draw conclusions?</i>	No apparent conclusions; no discussion of potential implications	Conclusion are mostly restatement of previous claims	Brings closure with some synthesis; could better address implication	Bring closure; nicely synthesizes; alludes to broader implications	Clearly synthesizes; convey clear implications; suggests new perspectives	Conclusion
DELIVERY						
Categories	1-Emerging	2-Developing	3-Good	4-Very Good	5-Exceptional	Score
<i>How well did author use the space for laying out information?</i>	Poster is far too crowded or far too sparse; no graphics	Too crowded or too sparse; graphics lack clear value; several redundancies	A bit too crowded (or sparse); not all graphics add value; minor redundancies	Well laid out; graphics add value and impact; no redundancies	Perfectly laid out; graphics are of professional quality; highly polished work	Timing/Pace
<i>How clear and error-free was the text?</i>	Many unclear or ungrammatical passages; many typos	Some unclear/ungrammatical text; a few typos	Mostly clear, with few lapses; one or minor types/errors	Clear and coherent text; error-free	Exceptionally lucid and well written work; error-free	Elocution
<i>Interacting with viewers, how confident was the presenter?</i>	Seemingly ill-at-ease; appeared to lack confidence	Somewhat relaxed; seemed to lose confidence on occasion	Reasonably relaxed/confident; recovered from minor lapses	Relaxed, confident, and poised	Exceptionally relaxed, confident, and poised	Confidence
<i>Overall, how well did the poster engage the viewer?</i>	Not at all; little attempt to answer any questions	Minimally; some difficulty in answering any questions	Moderately; adequate answers to any questions	Consistently; provided well-supported answers to any questions	Exceptionally; answers to any questions were articulate, well-supported, and thought provoking	Engagement
IMPACT						
	1-Emerging	2-Developing	3-Good	4-Very Good	5-Exceptional	Score
<i>How important is this research? What is its likely impact?</i>	Importance & impact are not clear at this time	Potentially important; will benefit from further development	Important; likely to have a positive impact	Very important; very likely to have a positive impact	Extremely important; almost certain to have a positive impact	Impact

Comments for future iterations of the presentation and/or the research:

Grand Total
(out of 50)

--