

ACTUAL PERFORMANCE FOR OUTCOME MEASURES
714 - UT ARLINGTON
FISCAL YEAR 2005
11/8/2005

Actual Performance for Outcome Measures with Updates
 78th Regular Session, Performance Reporting
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 11/8/2005
 TIME: 1:45:40PM
 PAGE: 2 OF 6

Agency code: 714

Agency name: UT ARLINGTON

Type/Objective/Measure	2005 Target	2005 YTD	Percent of Annual Target
<u>1-1 INSTRUCTION/OPERATIONS</u>			
K 1 FRESHMEN - 6 YR DEGREE	35.00 %	39.50 %	112.86 % *
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The campus has increased residential students and added programming (e.g. residential learning communities to support their success) and this is promoting increased graduation rates.			
2 WHITE FRESHMEN - 6 YR DEGREE	0.00 %	35.54 %	
3 HISPANIC FRESHMEN - 6 YR DEGREE	0.00 %	40.41 %	
4 BLACK FRESHMEN - 6 YR DEGREE	0.00 %	36.00 %	
5 OTHER FRESHMEN - 6 YR DEGREE	0.00 %	54.98 %	
K 6 RETENTION - FRESHMEN	70.30 %	69.30 %	98.58 %

* Varies by 5% or more from target.

Actual Performance for Outcome Measures with Updates
 78th Regular Session, Performance Reporting
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 11/8/2005
 TIME: 1:45:40PM
 PAGE: 3 OF 6

Agency code: 714

Agency name: UT ARLINGTON

Type/Objective/Measure	2005 Target	2005 YTD	Percent of Annual Target
7 RETENTION - WHITE FRESHMEN	0.00 %	66.40 %	
8 RETENTION - HISPANIC FRESHMEN	0.00 %	65.80 %	
9 RETENTION - BLACK FRESHMEN	0.00 %	68.10 %	
10 RETENTION - OTHER FRESHMEN	0.00 %	75.49 %	
K 11 ADMINISTRATIVE COST	9.30 %	10.12 %	108.77 % *
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The University incurred one-time expenditures for leasing a building and for improvements made to this building to support most of its information technology operations. These lease and improvement expenditures were classified as institutional support costs and caused the university to exceed its FY 2005 administrative cost measure target.			
12 % SEMESTER CREDIT HRS COMPLETED	0.00 %	93.44 %	

* Varies by 5% or more from target.

Actual Performance for Outcome Measures with Updates
 78th Regular Session, Performance Reporting
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 11/8/2005
 TIME: 1:45:40PM
 PAGE: 4 OF 6

Agency code: 714

Agency name: UT ARLINGTON

Type/Objective/Measure	2005 Target	2005 YTD	Percent of Annual Target
K 13 CERT RATE TEACHER ED GRADS	86.00 %	95.90 %	111.51 % *
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> UTA's performance measures are higher than expected due to our mandatory practice test requirements combined with our careful counseling and advising efforts.			
14 RETENTION - TASP STUDENTS	0.00 %	54.95 %	
K 15 % 1ST GEN COLLEGE GRADS	55.60 %	50.15 %	90.20 % *
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> For many years the fastest growing segment of our student population has been first time, full time, residential students. First generation college students are more likely to attend a community college first before transferring to a four-year institution.			
16 % TRANSFERS GRAD 4 YRS	0.00 %	64.55 %	
K 17 % COURSES TAUGHT - TENURE	36.30 %	24.97 %	68.79 % *
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Progress is being made to replace the shortage of tenure stream faculty teaching lower division courses. This shorage resulted from enrollment growth that outpaced faculty hiring. 31 new tenured track faculty have been hired in FY 2006 which will help improve this performance measure.			

* Varies by 5% or more from target.

Actual Performance for Outcome Measures with Updates
 78th Regular Session, Performance Reporting
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 11/8/2005
 TIME: 1:45:40PM
 PAGE: 5 OF 6

Agency code: 714

Agency name: UT ARLINGTON

Type/Objective/Measure	2005 Target	2005 YTD	Percent of Annual Target
K 19 PASS RATE OF ENGR'G GRADS	80.00 %	67.00 %	83.75 % *
<p><u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The 67% pass rate for 2004-2005 is at the state-wide average and is indicative of the pass rate of UTA students for several years prior to 2003-2004. The drop in pass rate from 84% in 2003-2004 is perhaps explained by a drop in the number of students enrolling in the FE review course that UTA offers each semester. We have revamped the course effective fall 2005 in order to make it more appealing to students and to reflect changes in the FE exam itself. Also, the number of students taking the FE exam has dropped steadily over the years. Therefore the pass rate is more sensitive to the number of students failing the test than in the past.</p>			
K 20 PASS RATE OF NURSING GRADS	93.50 %	90.72 %	97.03 %
K 23 VALUE OF EXTERNAL/SPONSORED FUNDS	16.00	23.77	148.56 % *
<p><u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Hiring new faculty in engineering and science have produced a substantial increase in the receipt of external/sponsored funds for the University of Texas at Arlington.</p>			
24 RESEARCH AS A % OF STATE APPROP	0.00 %	20.66 %	
25 EXTERNAL RSCH \$ AS % APPROP	0.00 %	1,312.83 %	

* Varies by 5% or more from target.

Actual Performance for Outcome Measures with Updates
78th Regular Session, Performance Reporting
Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 11/8/2005
TIME: 1:45:40PM
PAGE: 6 OF 6

Agency code: 714

Agency name: UT ARLINGTON

Type/Objective/Measure	2005 Target	2005 YTD	Percent of Annual Target
36 VALUE LOST/STOLEN PROPERTY	0.00	38,383.54	
37 % INVENTORY LOST/STOLEN	0.00 %	0.00 %	
38 % ENDOWED CHAIRS UNFILLED	0.00 %	20.00 %	
39 AVG MO END CHAIRS VACANT	0.00	12.00	

* Varies by 5% or more from target.