Characteristics of a Photonic Bandgap Single Defect Microcavity Electroluminescent Device
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Abstract—A microcavity surface-emitting coherent electroluminescent device operating at room temperature under pulsed current injection is described. The microcavity is formed by a single defect in the center of a 2-D photonic crystal consisting of a GaAs-based heterostructure. The gain region consists of two 70-Å compressively strained In$_{0.15}$Ga$_{0.85}$As quantum wells, which exhibit a spontaneous emission peak at 940 nm. The maximum measured output power from a single device is 14.4 µW. The near-field image of the output resembles the calculated TE mode distribution in a single defect microcavity. The measured far-field pattern indicates the predicted directionality of a microcavity light source. The light-current characteristics of the device exhibit a gradual turn-on, or a soft threshold, typical of single- or few-mode microcavity devices. Analysis of the characteristics with the carrier and photon rate equations yields a spontaneous emission factor $\beta \approx 0.06$.

Index Terms—Defect mode, microcavity, photonic bandgap, surface emitting.

I. INTRODUCTION

It is now well known that spontaneous emission is not an intrinsic atomic property. It can be modified by tailoring the electromagnetic environment that the atom can radiate into. This was first realized by Purcell [1], who noted that the spontaneous emission rate can be enhanced for an atom placed inside a cavity with one of its modes resonant with the transition under consideration, and by Kleppner [2], who discussed the opposite case of inhibited spontaneous emission.

In bulk material, or in a large cavity, the photon density of states is a monotonic function and spontaneous emission occurs into a large number of states, which occupy a spectral region much larger than the spontaneous emission linewidth. In a conventional laser made of such materials, most of the spontaneous emission is lost to free space as radiation modes and only a small fraction couples to the resonant mode of the cavity formed by the mirrors. Therefore, significant stimulated emission output can only be obtained when the input power crosses a threshold to overcome the free-space loss. In a wavelength-sized microcavity [3]–[5], formed by 1-, 2-, or 3-D photon-mode confinement, the photon-mode density develops singularities, just as in the case of carrier confinement. In this case, a single spectrally distinct mode, determined by the microcavity dimensions, can receive most or all of the spontaneous emission.

By applying Fermi’s golden rule, it has been shown that the rate of spontaneous emission is enhanced in such a microcavity, due to the change in the mode density [4]. The spatial profile of the spontaneous emission in the cavity plane has also been calculated, and it has been shown that the profile can become vertically collimated. This is easily seen in a planar microcavity, with confinement in one direction, but has also been theoretically shown in a wavelength-sized microcavity created by lateral confinement and without high-reflectivity mirrors in the direction of the guided modes [6], [7].

The most appealing technique to realize a true photonic microcavity is to use a dielectric photonic crystal, realized with a periodic modulation of the dielectric constant [8], [9]. As lightwave scatters within a material with a periodic variation in the dielectric constant, destructive interference of certain frequencies, depending on geometry and index variation, produces a photonic bandgap (PBG) [10]. Photons whose energies lie within the gap cannot propagate through the structure. However, a point defect—a missing period or phase slip—in the structure will locally trap photons and create a microcavity [11]–[19]. All the photons corresponding to the wavelength of the defect, generated by recombination in the PBG crystal or otherwise, will be funneled into the single resonant mode of the defect and this mode can propagate in the crystal. Such a single-mode microcavity light-emitting diode, with a spontaneous emission factor $\beta \approx 1$, can also be viewed as a thresholdless laser. However, there are important differences. In a microcavity, or defect, there is feedback of the dominant mode in all directions. Also, unlike a laser, in which the output is a result of mode competition and gain saturation, in a true microcavity there is only one mode that is emitted. The resonant defect mode is highly localized around the defect and can either propagate in the plane of the PBG crystal by tunneling, or leak out in the vertical direction. Lasing with optical pumping from a microcavity formed by a single defect in the center of a disc-shaped photonic crystal has been demonstrated [18], [19] and we have recently reported room-temperature operation of a PBG microcavity surface emitting electroluminescent device [20]. Photon confinement and the modal properties of a PBG-based microcavity are quite similar to those of a reflector-based microcavity. However, the former relies on multiple reflections from distributed scatterers, instead of the multiple reflections from localized mirrors in the latter, which selects only those modes having in-phase multiple reflections and rejects all other electromagnetic modes.

In this paper, we report the characteristics of a GaAs-based single defect PBG electroluminescent device in detail. The
single defect in a 2-D photonic crystal, formed of semiconductor heterostructures containing $\text{In}_{0.15}\text{Ga}_{0.85}\text{As}$–GaAs quantum wells, forms the microcavity. In particular, the light-current ($I$–$J$) characteristics are very different from conventional lasers, or even microcavity VCSELs. Data from the device also indicate that light emission truly occurs from the microcavity formed by the defect and not from the rest of the 2-D PBG. The device is, at best, a “few mode” LED. The concept of a threshold current, therefore, cannot be strictly applied, and instead, we see a gradual turn-on, exactly as described by Yokoyama [3]. However, for simplicity, we will refer to the current at the turn-on point as a threshold, even though the device may not operate as a laser. In fact, we have analyzed this by the appropriate carrier and photon rate equations and by taking into account the substantial nonradiative recombination at the air holes. Excellent agreement is obtained with experimental data. In what follows, the device design is described in Section II and device fabrication in Section III. The experimental results, together with analysis of the data are described in Section IV, followed by a discussion in Section V. The important results are summarized in Section VI.

II. DESIGN OF PBG CRYSTAL AND MICROCAVITY

Epitaxial growth and fabrication of the devices have been described by us elsewhere [20], but is briefly reiterated for completeness. The device heterostructure, grown by metal-organic vapor phase epitaxy (MOVPE), is shown in Fig. 1(a). It consists of an undoped cavity region of thickness $\lambda/\pi$ with two 70-Å pseudomorphic $\text{In}_{0.15}\text{Ga}_{0.85}\text{As}$ quantum wells in the middle and $p$-type $\text{Al}_{0.3}\text{Ga}_{0.7}\text{As}$ layers and contact layers on the top. $N$- and $p$-type $\text{Al}_{0.96}\text{Ga}_{0.04}\text{As}$ layers are inserted for lateral wet-oxidation during the processing of the device. Therefore, the heterostructure is similar to that of an oxide confined VCSEL [21], without the top distributed Bragg reflector (DBR) mirror. The reflectivity of the top surface is that provided by the semiconductor-air interface. Even the bottom DBR is not necessary, but was incorporated to achieve a high index step (reflectivity) in the bottom side and to ensure leakage of light from the top surface.

The cavity was designed with a 2-D PBG encompassing the peak emission wavelength at a normalized frequency $q/\Lambda$ for the TE modes. The calculated bandgap for the TE modes and the defect mode are shown in Fig. 2. The calculations were done in the frequency domain considering a 2-D geometry with an effective index to take into account the index steps in the vertical direction. The calculations are based on the plane-wave expansion method and effective medium theory [22]–[24]. While a unit cell was used in the perfect PBG, where circular air holes are arranged in a triangular lattice in a dielectric background with a dielectric constant of 12.5 (inset of Fig. 2), a supercell [24] must be used when a defect is introduced into an otherwise perfect PBG, where the structure is approximated with the discrete-translationally symmetric structure. Recalling that the electric field is primarily parallel to the interface for TE modes and perpendicular for TM modes, it is straightforward to understand that a dielectric tensor, which is valid for any polarization, can be generated in terms of the effective medium theory. In our case, the PBG center frequency $q/\Lambda = 0.426$, which corresponds to the quantum-well peak emission wavelength of $0.94 \mu m$. Values of $q$ and $r$, 0.4 and 0.13 $\mu m$, respectively, give the best experimental results, and we believe these dimensions place the quantum well emission within the PBG of the 2-D crystal. Some amount of trial and error was involved since only a quasi-3-D model was used.
rounded by over 40 periods of PBG, having an extent (radius) of 20 μm, which also coincides with the current funneling aperture formed by wet oxidation of the Al_{0.96}Ga_{0.04}As layers. Excellent diode characteristics were measured for the device at various stages. The reverse leakage current increased from 40 pA to 1 nA after formation of the PBG crystal.

Room-temperature photoluminescence (PL) measurements were also done on the as-grown heterostructures and on the samples after etching of air holes to form the PBG. The measurements were made with a 632-nm laser, 1-m scanning spectrometer, and a liquid-nitrogen cooled photomultiplier with lock-in amplification of the signal. The luminescence measured from the InGaAs quantum wells is shown in Fig. 1(b). This output is predominantly transverse-electric (TE) polarized due to the compressive strain in the InGaAs quantum wells. This is an advantage, since the PBG defect mode is predominantly TE polarized. It may also be noted that the peak intensity (940 nm) of the PL signal from the PBG region is at least ten times lower than that from the as-grown heterostructure. We also fabricated oxide-confined microcavity VCSEL-like devices with the epitaxial heterostructures before etching the air holes. No top DBR mirror was formed. These devices did not show lasing behavior. These control experiments are crucial in eliminating other possible sources of light emission that is subsequently observed in the devices with the PBG crystal with single defect.

IV. DEVICE CHARACTERISTICS

The L-I and spectral characteristics of the PBG microcavity devices were measured in the pulsed mode (1-μs width with 1% duty cycle) with probe contacts. The output was measured in a direction normal to the surface. It may be remembered that the dominant mode in the defect region can propagate laterally, or leak out vertically. The DBR mirror at the bottom helps in surface emission from the top. A turn-on, or soft threshold-like behavior in the injection current is consistently observed in the L-I characteristics (Fig. 4). We have observed a similar threshold-like behavior in 1.55-μm oxide-confined microcavity electroluminescent devices [27]. The maximum output power is 14.4 μW [Fig. 4(b)]. Care was taken to ensure that the measured power lies within the operating spectral and sensitivity regimes of the Ge detector, especially at low output powers. The measured spectral outputs at different injection currents, below and above the turn-on, or threshold, are shown in Fig. 5. The spectra at low injection currents, below the turn-on, are also characterized by several distinct peaks, rather than a broad output. From a lineshape analysis of the main peak at 931 nm (above threshold), we derive a linewidth of 8 Å, which leads to a quality factor $Q(\lambda/\delta\lambda)$ of ~1164. This is, of course, different from the cold cavity $Q$, which we believe is lower in value. Our spectral data are also very noisy due to low output power, in addition to multimode behavior, thereby making the measurement of the linewidth less accurate. We estimate the $Q$ value to be ~200, and the values of 300–500 for similar devices have been reported [18]. It may be noted that the vertical cavity $Q$ is very low (~12 in our case) since there is no DBR on the top surface. The peak output wavelength corresponds to a normalized frequency of 0.43, which is within
the bandgap of the photonic crystal incorporated in our device. While the PL emission peaks at 940 nm at 300 K, the output emission center wavelength is 931 nm. We believe the shift is mainly due to the process induced PBG position and defect level shift [28].

The field distribution and the localized defect mode in and around the defect in the photonic crystal were also calculated by the technique described in Section II. The computations reveal that most of the energy of the defect mode leaks in the vertical (z) direction, rather than being guided in the plane (x-y) of the photonic crystal. The modes are predominantly TE, with a small contribution from unguided transverse magnetic (TM) modes. Fig. 6(a) shows the calculated dominant TE modes in the middle of the cavity for x and y dipole, respectively, which have a symmetrical distribution and extend radially through the first few periods of the air holes in the photonic crystal. The distribution is that of a pair of degenerate dipole modes, which may be present in the measured output spectrum of Fig. 5. We have also measured the near-field image [Fig. 6(b)] of the light output with a Spiricon Laser Beam Diagnostics system for an injection current of 2.2 mA, which is above threshold. The imaging was done at a distance of 4 mm from the surface of the device through an objective lens. It is evident that the modes spread out from the defect (microcavity) region during its propagation along the vertical direction. The nonuniformity in the mode profile is possibly due to light scattering in the air holes and diffraction at the surface [29]. Nonetheless, it is important to note that the 4-μm
a single defect mode cavity can be formed and we expect a better-defined linearly polarized output.

V. DISCUSSIONS

Finally, it is important to try and understand the nature of the light output and whether a true threshold can be expected. The injected carriers reach the defect (microcavity) by traveling around the air-hole columns in the photonic crystal. Some carriers may also travel via the top surface. This region, defined by the wet-oxidized AlGaAs layers, is 40 μm in diameter. It can, therefore, be assumed that some fraction of the initial injection current is lost to nonradiative recombination, of which surface recombination is the dominant component. The surface recombination current can be expressed in terms of surface recombination velocity $S_0$ as [32]

$$I_S = qS_0 \left( np \right)^{1/2} L_s L_P$$

where

- $(np)^{1/2}$ carrier density at the surface;
- $L_s$ surface diffusion length;
- $L_P$ perimeter length of the interface.

By taking $S_0 = 10^6$ cm/s, $L_s = 1$ μm (total etch depth), $L_P = 2\pi r$ with $r = 0.13$ μm, we get values of the surface recombination current through the holes and on the surface to be 96 and 14 μA, respectively, adding to a total of 110 μA. Since the observed soft threshold occurs at a larger value of injection current, it is believed that, for injection currents larger than 110 μA, radiative recombination dominates.

It is also important and necessary to understand the nature of the $L$-$I$ characteristics, especially at and near the “soft” threshold, or turn-on, region. For a true microcavity, the number of modes is limited to one, or a few, and the value of the spontaneous emission factor $\beta$ is greatly enhanced. Theoretically, a microcavity light source formed by a single defect in a photonic crystal should have a near unity value of spontaneous emission factor $\beta$. We have analyzed the measured $L$-$I$ characteristics with the rate equations for carriers and photons in microcavity lasers [33]. The carrier density $N$ in the active region and the photon population $P$ in the cavity can be described by the following rate equations:

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = \frac{I}{qV} - \frac{N}{\tau_{np}} - \frac{N}{\tau_{nr}} - \Gamma \frac{gP}{V}$$

$$\frac{dP}{dt} = \Gamma \frac{gP}{V} - \frac{P}{\tau_P} + \beta \frac{N}{\tau_{sp}}$$

where

- $I$ injection current;
- $q$ electron charge;
- $V$ active region volume;
- $\tau_{nr}$ nonradiative recombination lifetime;
- $\tau_{np}$ spontaneous emission lifetime;
- $\tau_P$ stimulated emission lifetime;
- $\Gamma$ confinement factor;
- $g$ carrier density dependent gain.
Fig. 8. Numerical fit of the measured L-I characteristics (solid dots) to the calculated L-I characteristics for a microcavity light emitter (solid lines), showing good agreement for a spontaneous emission factor $\beta = 0.06$, which indicates strong spontaneous emission control: (a) logarithmic and (b) linear scales.

$g$ can be expressed in terms of the transparent carrier density $N_0$ and the differential gain as

$$g = \frac{d\alpha}{dN}(N - N_0) = \frac{\beta V}{\tau_{sp}}(N - N_0),$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The steady-state solution of the rate equations yield the output L-I characteristics. As can be seen in Fig. 8, good agreement between measured and calculated data is obtained for $\beta \approx 0.06$ by taking into account a relatively large nonradiative surface recombination induced carrier loss ($\tau_{sp} \ll \tau_{le}$) and photon scattering loss in the device. A photon lifetime of 1 ps and an optical confinement factor of 0.05 are used in the analysis. It may be noted that the value of $\beta$, although less than unity, is significantly larger than that in conventional semiconductor lasers ($\beta \sim 10^{-4} - 10^{-5}$).

VI. CONCLUSION

We report the characteristics of an electrically injected microcavity light emitter in which the mode-confining volume is defined by a single defect in a semiconductor-based PBG crystal. The bandgap of the photonic crystal is designed to contain the radiative emission from In$_{0.15}$Ga$_{0.85}$As–GaAs quantum wells, which form the gain medium.

Realization of the device reported here involves careful processing and low damage etching with a high aspect ratio. If the thickness of the PBG region is reduced, the TE field is less confined in the microcavity. The L-I characteristics of the device exhibit a “soft” threshold, or turn-on, behavior, as expected from true microcavity light emitters. The light output results from all or most of the spontaneous emission being funneled into a few microcavity modes. From analysis of the data with appropriate carrier and photon rate equations, a value of $\beta = 0.06$ is derived. It is important to realize that the device need not have DBR mirrors; even the bottom mirror in our heterostructure is not required. The lithography and etch dimensions will be much larger and the tolerances much better for 1.55-$\mu$m emitters, using InP-based materials, which inherently have smaller surface recombination. Surface-emitting light emitters at this wavelength are technologically important for optical communications. In spite of a relatively large value of $\beta$, the output power is low. This is due to the small microcavity volume. However, a closely spaced array, as schematically shown in Fig. 9, will have
much higher—and at the same time collimated—power outputs. Such an array can also be designed to be multi-wavelength by simply varying the PBG crystal dimension [34], [35], which would be useful for dense WDM (DWDM) lightwave communication systems.
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